Prediction of boreal summer monsoon intraseasonal oscillation in CFSv2: Importance of convection parameterizations and realistic SSTs

Arun Kumar¹, Wanqiu Wang¹, Meng-Pai Hung², and Raghu Murtugudde²

Climate Prediction Center, NCEP/NWS/NOAA
 ESSIC, University of Maryland

• **Project Title**: Understanding the role of sea surface temperatures in the simulation and prediction of the monsoon intraseasonal oscillation

• Objectives

- Using CFS understand the impact of sea surface temperatures (SSTs) on the prediction of the Monsoon Intraseasonal Oscillation (MISO)
- To explore MISO predictability through enhanced representation of SSTs in the CFS

- Progress:
 - Investigated the role of the SST on MISO prediction and its dependence on convection parameterization
 - Started preparing an ocean component with high vertical resolution for improved representation of SSTs

What is MISO?

Different names (e.g., MISO, BSISO)

MISO: Boreal summer Monsoon IntraseaSonal Oscillation

Rainfall EEOFs (Suhas et al. 2013) (a) phase 2 305 13.5 10S 12 105E 120E 135E 60E 75E 90E 105E 90E 120E 10.5 (c) (d) phase 3 phase 4 30N 105 75E 90E 105E 120E 135E 60E 75E 90E 105E 60E 120E 135E (e) (f) phase 5 phase 6 301 201 105 -7.5 105 -9 90F 105E 120E 135E 60E 75E 90E 105E 75F 120F 135F -10.5 (g) phase 7 (h) phase 8 30N -12 13.5 201 10N 10S 60E 75E 90E 105E 120E 135E 60E 75E 90E 105E 120E 135E

BSISO: Boreal Summer IntraSeasonal Oscillation



- Propagates northward
- Modulates intraseasonal variability over South-East Asia

How is MISO developed and how to improve its prediction?

1. Internal atmospheric dynamics

Wang and Xie (1997) Lawrence and Webster (2002) Jiang et al. (2004)

2. Air-sea interaction

Krishnamurit et al. (1988) Permkumar et al. (2000) Kemball-Cook and Wang (2001) Sengupta and Ravichandran (2001) Fu and Wang (2004) Roxy and Tanimoto (2007) Wang et al. (2009) Sharmila et al. (2013) Roxy (2014)

If air-sea interaction is important, accurate simulation of SSTs is also required for models to predict MISO/BSISO. How critical is the presence of accurate SSTs in the prediction?

Evolution of 2007 summer SST and Precip anomalies (65° -95°E average)

TMI: Tropical Rainfall Measuring Mission's (TRMM) Microwave ImagerNCDC: National Climate Data Center

- Coherent SST associated with northward propagating precipitation
- SST leads precipitation by 5-15 days
- Large differences between TMI than NCDC



Standard Deviation of daily SST (K) in JJAS 1999-2012



Issues to address

• How important are accurate SSTs in the simulation and prediction of MISO?

• If SSTs are important, do their impacts depend on model physics?

Approach

To Understand the role of SSTs and its dependence on model physics, experiments are carried out with

- Different SSTs
- Different convection schemes

Forecast experiments

- 1. Model
 - Atmosphere-only GFS
 - T126/L64
- 2. Daily SSTs:
 - TMI (TRMM Microwave Imager)
 - NCDC (National Climate Data Center)
 - Clim (TMI and NCDC 1998-2014)
- 3. Convection parameterizations
 - SAS (Simplified Arakawa Schubert, Pan & Wu 1995), currently in Operational CFS
 - SAS2 (Revised SAS, Han & Pan 2011), currently in Operational GFS
 - RAS (Relaxed Arakawa Schubert, Moorthi & Suarez 1999)
- 4. Forecast runs:
 - Experiments for 7 MISO cases
 - Each forecast covers 31 target days
 - Initial conditions: CFSR

Seven MISO cases for CFS experiments

Selection of the cases: i) Strong rainfall anomalies; ii) Associated with strong SST anomalies.



	TMI Prate Selecte	ed case
	Case	Strong SST (Pr+SST)
	1	Sep 9 – Oct 10, 2001
	2	Jul 13 – Aug 12, 2004
	3	Aug 20 – Sep 19, 2005
	4	Aug 24 – Sep 23, 2006
	5	Jun 6 – Jul 6, 2007
	6	Oct 4 – Nov 3, 2008
	7	Jun 20 – July 20, 2009

For each case, forecasts from 31 initial dates (day -15 to day 15) surrounding day 0 when rainfall maxima of the 5° -10°N/65°-95°E average occurs.

65°-95°E average SST, prate and OLR anomalies in 7 strong SST cases

Strong rainfall with SST peak – Seven case average



Composite rainfall anomalies (shaded) and SST (contour) averaged between 65°-95°E



25N

20N

SAS2



mm/day

20N

Forecast at 1-day lead



25N

20N

SAS2







Composite rainfall anomalies (shaded) and SST (contour) averaged between 65°-95°E





mm/day

Forecast at 10-day lead



Correlation of BSISO index (Lee et al. 2013) between observation and models



Compared to the use of Clm 1. SST, TMI SST results larger improvement than NCDC

2. TMI SST with RAS scheme has better skill than SAS and SAS2.

TMI

14

13

19

SAS2

SAS

RAS

The days that the skill remains above 0.3

Conclusions

- 1. Accurate SSTs are important for the prediction of MISO. This indicates the need of atmosphere-ocean models for MISO prediction and the need of improved modeling of upper ocean with enhanced vertical resolution and physical parameterizations.
- 2. The impact of SSTs also depend on model physics. Among the convection schemes tested, RAS has better performance in capturing the observed rainfall variability.

Future work

- Investigate mechanisms causing differences among convection schemes.
 (a) Evolution of specific humidity associated with SSTs
 (b) Differences in moistening/drying among convection schemes
- 2. Investigate impact of simulated SSTs using coupled atmosphere-ocean models (a) Test impact of vertical resolution on MISO prediction in with coupled CFS

MOM Vertical levels/layers



Correlation of 5°-20°N/65°-95°E Prate between observation and models

